

A SHORT NOTE ON CONVEX FUNCTIONS

STEVE FAN

ABSTRACT. This short note concerns three well-known inequalities for convex functions and their interesting proofs and applications I discovered back when I was an undergrad.

In [1] Alzera gave a simple and elegant proof of the classical arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality [2, Theorem 9]:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n and p_1, \dots, p_n are positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. We now show that his method can be used to prove Jensen's inequality for convex functions [2, Theorem 90]. Recall a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **convex** if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

for any $x, y \in [a, b]$ and any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, f is called **strictly convex** if it is convex and

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

implies $x = y$ or $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$. Now we prove the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function. Then*

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \tag{1}$$

for all real numbers $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [a, b]$ and positive real numbers p_1, \dots, p_n with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Moreover, if f is strictly convex on $[a, b]$, then equality in (1) holds if and only if $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$. Let $A := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i$. Since f is differentiable and convex, it is continuously differentiable. Suppose $|f'(x)| < M$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Let $g(x) := f(x) + Mx$ for $x \in [a, b]$. Then g is convex on $[a, b]$ and $g'(x) = f'(x) + M > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. This implies that g is strictly increasing on $[a, b]$. Observe

$$g(x_1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(x_i) \leq g(x_n).$$

Thus there exists a unique $B \in [x_1, x_n]$ for which

$$g(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(x_i).$$

Furthermore, there exists $1 \leq k < n$ for which $x_k \leq B \leq x_{k+1}$. Since g is convex on $[a, b]$, we have that g' is increasing on $[a, b]$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \int_{x_i}^B (g'(B) - g'(x)) dx + \sum_{i=k+1}^n p_i \int_B^{x_i} (g'(x) - g'(B)) dx \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

The left-hand side is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{x_i}^B g'(B) dx - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \int_{x_i}^B g'(x) dx = (B - A)g'(B).$$

Since $g'(B) > 0$, we have $A \leq B$. This is equivalent to $g(A) \leq g(B)$. But note that $g(A) = f(A) + MA$ and

$$g(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) + MA.$$

This gives (1).

Suppose further that f is strictly convex on $[a, b]$. Then g is also strictly convex on $[a, b]$. Thus g' is strictly increasing on $[a, b]$. If equality in (1) holds, then $A = B$. This implies that equality in (2) holds, and hence we must have $x_i = B$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $x_1 = \dots = x_n$. This completes the proof. \square

By a similar but slightly complicated argument we can prove the following result which generalizes an inequality of Szegő [4] when the convex function considered is differentiable.

Proposition 2. *Let $f: [0, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function. Let $n \geq 1$ be an odd integer and let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, a]$ be real numbers with $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n$. Suppose that $p_1, \dots, p_n \in [0, 1]$ are non-negative real numbers such that $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_n$. Then*

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i f(x_i) + \lambda f(0), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\lambda = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i.$$

Proof. Let $y_i := x_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $y_{n+1} := 0$. Put $p_{n+1} := -\lambda$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} p_i = 1 \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} p_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i x_i. \quad (5)$$

Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [0, a]$. Let $A := \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i x_i$. Since $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0$ and $1 \geq p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_n \geq 0$, we see that

$$0 \leq p_n x_n \leq A \leq p_1 x_1 \leq x_1.$$

Since f is increasing on $[0, a]$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i (f(x_i) - f(0)) \leq p_1 (f(x_1) - f(0)) \leq f(x_1) - f(0).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i f(x_i) + \lambda f(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i (f(x_i) - f(0)) + f(0) \leq f(x_1).$$

By partial summation we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i f(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^{j-1} p_j (f(x_i) - f(x_{i+1})) + (1 - \lambda) f(x_n) \geq (1 - \lambda) f(x_n).$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i f(x_i) + \lambda f(0) \geq (1 - \lambda) f(x_n) + \lambda f(0) \geq f(0).$$

Thus there exists a unique $B \in [y_{n+1}, y_1]$ for which

$$f(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} p_i f(x_i) + \lambda f(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} p_i f(y_i). \quad (6)$$

Moreover, there exists $1 \leq k \leq n$ for which $y_{k+1} \leq B \leq y_k$. Since f is convex on $[0, a]$, we have that f' is increasing on $[0, a]$. Note that

$$\int_B^{y_i} (f'(x) - f'(B)) dx$$

is non-negative and decreases as i increases from 1 to k . Hence we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} p_i \int_B^{y_i} (f'(x) - f'(B)) dx \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

Similarly, we see that

$$h_i := \int_{y_{n+1-i}}^B (f'(B) - f'(x)) dx$$

is non-negative and decreases as i increases from 0 to $n - k$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} p_i \int_{y_i}^B (f'(B) - f'(x)) dx = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^{n-i} p_{n+1-i} h_i.$$

By partial summation and (4) we see that the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{n-j} p_{n+1-j} \right) (h_i - h_{i+1}) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^{n-i} p_{n+1-i} \right) h_{n-k} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (-1)^{j-1} p_j \right) (h_i - h_{i+1}) + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} p_i \right) h_{n-k} \\
&= h_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} (-1)^{j-1} p_j \right) (h_i - h_{i+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} p_i h_{n-k} \\
&\geq h_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} p_1 (h_i - h_{i+1}) - p_1 h_{n-k} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} p_i \int_{y_i}^B (f'(B) - f'(x)) dx \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Adding up (7) and (8) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} p_i \int_B^{y_i} (f'(x) - f'(B)) dx + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i-1} p_i \int_{y_i}^B (f'(B) - f'(x)) dx \geq 0.$$

In view of (4)–(6), the left-hand side equals $(B - A)f'(B)$. Since $f'(B) > 0$, we have $A \leq B$. Since f is increasing on $[0, a]$, we conclude that $f(A) \leq f(B)$. This proves (3). \square

Remark 1. Szegő's inequality follows from Proposition 2 by taking $p_1 = \dots = p_n = 1$, at least when the convex function in consideration is differentiable.

Remark 2. If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex but not necessarily differentiable, then f is continuous on the open interval (a, b) and admits left and right derivatives both of which are increasing on (a, b) . Moreover, f is differentiable everywhere on (a, b) except for a subset $E \subseteq (a, b)$ that is at most countable. Hence the arguments used in the proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 may be adapted to accommodate this general case.

We obtain the following result as a corollary of Proposition 2.

Corollary 3. *Let p, q be real numbers with $|p| \geq |q| > 0$. Let $n \geq 1$ be an odd integer and let x_1, \dots, x_n be positive real numbers with $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n$. Then*

$$\operatorname{sgn}(p) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x_i^p \right)^{1/p} \geq \operatorname{sgn}(p) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x_i^q \right)^{1/q},$$

where

$$\operatorname{sgn}(p) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } p < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $r := p/q$ and $f(x) := (x + \varepsilon)^r$ for $x \geq 0$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$f''(x) = r(r-1)(x + \varepsilon)^{r-2} \geq |r|(|r| - 1)(x + \varepsilon)^{r-2} \geq 0$$

for all $x \geq 0$. Thus f is convex on $(0, +\infty)$. Our corollary follows by applying Proposition 2 to f and x_1^q, \dots, x_n^q and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

Both Jensen's inequality and Szegő's inequality follow from a beautiful result of Karamata [3]. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [a, b]$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in [a, b]$ be two sequences of real numbers arranged in descending order, that is, $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n$ and $y_1 \geq \dots \geq y_n$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i \quad (9)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i x_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i y_i \quad (10)$$

for all $1 \leq k < n$. Karamata's inequality in its weighted form states that under these conditions, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(y_i).$$

The classical proofs use partial summation. We give a simple proof of Karamata's inequality for differentiable convex functions. We first prove the following variant of Karamata's inequality.

Proposition 4. *Let $f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)$ be differentiable convex functions on $[a, b]$ such that $f_1'(x) \geq \dots \geq f_n'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers. Suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [a, b]$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in [a, b]$ are two sequences of real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying (10) for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f_i(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f_i(y_i). \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, if f_1, \dots, f_n are strictly convex on $[a, b]$ with $f_n'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, then equality in (11) holds if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, let

$$A_k := \sum_{i=1}^k p_i (x_i - y_i),$$

$$B_k := \sum_{i=1}^k p_i (f_i(x_i) - f_i(y_i)).$$

Since $A_n \geq 0$ and $f_n'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, it is sufficient to show

$$B_n \geq f_n'(y_n) A_n. \quad (12)$$

For any convex function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have that f' is increasing on $[a, b]$ and

$$f(x) - f(y) \geq f'(y)(x - y) \quad (13)$$

for all $x, y \in [a, b]$. Thus $B_1 \geq f'_1(y_1)A_1$. Since $A_1 \geq 0$ and $f'_1(y_1) \geq f'_1(y_2) \geq f'_2(y_2)$, we have

$$B_2 \geq B_1 + f'_2(y_2)p_2(x_2 - y_2) \geq f'_2(y_2)(A_1 + p_2(x_2 - y_2)) = f'_2(y_2)A_2.$$

The inequality (12) follows by iterating this procedure. The assertion for equality in (11) follows from the observation that if f is strictly convex on $[a, b]$ with $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, then equality in (13) occurs precisely when $x = y$. \square

We obtain the following result as a corollary of Proposition 4 of which Karamata's inequality for differentiable convex functions is a special case.

Proposition 5. *Let $f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)$ be differentiable convex functions on $[a, b]$ such that $f'_1(x) \geq \dots \geq f'_n(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers. Suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [a, b]$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in [a, b]$ are two sequences of real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying (9) and (10). Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f_i(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f_i(y_i). \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, if f_1, \dots, f_n are strictly convex on $[a, b]$, then equality in (14) holds if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4 applied to $g_i(x) := f_i(x) + Mx$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $M > 0$ is any positive real number for which $|f'_n(x)| < M$ holds for all $x \in [a, b]$. \square

We now give a few simple applications of the inequalities of Jensen and Karamata which may be of some interest.

Corollary 6. *Let $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be complex numbers in the open unit disk $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Suppose that p_1, \dots, p_n are non-negative real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$. Then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + z_j)^{p_j} - 1 \in D.$$

Here $(1 + z_j)^{p_j} = \exp(p_j \log(1 + z_j))$ with \log taken to be the same branch of the natural logarithm for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$, we may suppose that $\log z$ takes values of the principle branch. Let $1 + z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$, where $\theta_j \in (-\pi, \pi]$. Since $|z_j| < 1$, we deduce that $\theta_j \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $r_j < 2 \cos \theta_j$. The function $f(x) := \log \cos x$ is strictly concave on $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, since $f''(x) = -\sec^2 x < 0$. Applying Jensen's inequality to $-f$ and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ we obtain

$$\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (\cos \theta_j)^{p_j} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f(\theta_j) \leq f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \theta_j \right) = \log \cos \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \theta_j \right),$$

or equivalently,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (\cos \theta_j)^{p_j} \leq \cos \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \theta_j \right).$$

To prove

$$\left| \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + z_j)^{p_j} - 1 \right| < 1,$$

it suffices to show that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n r_j^{p_j} < 2 \cos \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \theta_j \right).$$

This is clearly the case, since

$$\prod_{j=1}^n r_j^{p_j} < \prod_{j=1}^n (2 \cos \theta_j)^{p_j} = 2 \prod_{j=1}^n (\cos \theta_j)^{p_j} \leq 2 \cos \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \theta_j \right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 7 (Hölder's inequality). *Let a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n be positive real numbers. Suppose that $p, q > 1$ are positive real numbers such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{1/q}$$

with equality if and only if $a_1^p/b_1^q = \dots = a_n^p/b_n^q$.

Proof. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Applying Jensen's inequality to $f(x) := x^q$ yields

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right)^q \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^q$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_n > 0$ with equality if and only if $x_1 = \dots = x_n$. Taking $x_i = a_i^{-p/q} b_i$ and

$$p_i = \frac{a_i^p}{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and observing that $p/q = p - 1$, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{-q} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{-1},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Equality occurs if and only if $a_1^{-p/q} b_1 = \dots = a_n^{-p/q} b_n$, or equivalently, if and only if $a_1^p/b_1^q = \dots = a_n^p/b_n^q$. \square

Remark 3. The standard proof of Hölder's inequality uses Young's inequality which is an equivalent formulation of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality for $n = 2$, whereas our proof uses the convexity of the power function x^q .

Corollary 8. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval which is also a semigroup, and let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Suppose that $X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{m \times n}(I)$ is an $m \times n$ matrix with the property that $x_{i1} \geq \dots \geq x_{in}$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. If $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ are permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n f \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_{i\sigma_i(j)} \right).$$

Proof. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$a_j := \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij},$$

$$b_j := \sum_{i=1}^m x_{i\sigma_i(j)}.$$

Then $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n$. Let τ be a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $b_{\tau(1)} \geq \dots \geq b_{\tau(n)}$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^k x_{ij} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^k x_{i\sigma_i(\tau(j))} = \sum_{j=1}^k b_{\tau(j)}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i\sigma_i(\tau(j))} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{\tau(j)}.$$

Our corollary follows now from Karamata's inequality applied to $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$. \square

Remark 4. Two interesting special cases of Corollary 8 are

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^m x_{i\sigma_i(j)},$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m x_{i\sigma_i(j)},$$

where $x_{ij} > 0$ for all pairs (i, j) .

Corollary 9. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with only real zeros such that $P(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers. Suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [a, b]$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in [a, b]$ are two sequences of real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying (9) and (10). Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (P(x_i))^{p_i} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (P(y_i))^{p_i}$$

with equality if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Let us write

$$P(x) = A \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (x - c_i),$$

where $m \geq 1$ and $c_1, \dots, c_m, A \in \mathbb{R}$ with $A \neq 0$. The function $f(x) := \log P(x)$ is well-defined and strictly concave on $[a, b]$, since

$$f''(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(x - c_i)^2} < 0.$$

Our corollary follows now from Karamata's inequality applied to $-f$. \square

Corollary 10. *Let $r \geq s \geq 0$ be non-negative integers. Let $I, J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be two disjoint intervals, and let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in J$ and $b_1, \dots, b_s \in J$ be two sequences of real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$$

for all $1 \leq k < s$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a_i = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i.$$

Let $R(x)$ be a non-constant rational function with real coefficients having zeros a_1, \dots, a_r and poles b_1, \dots, b_s such that $R(x) > 0$ for all $x \in I$. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers, and suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in I$ are two sequences of real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying (9) and (10). Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (R(x_i))^{p_i} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (R(y_i))^{p_i}$$

with equality if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We may write

$$R(x) = A \cdot \frac{(x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_r)}{(x - b_1) \cdots (x - b_s)},$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the function $f(x) := \log R(x)$ for $x \in I$. Note that

$$f''(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(x - a_i)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{(x - b_i)^2}.$$

For each $x \in I$, let $g_x(y) := 1/(y - x)^2$ for $y \in J$. Then g_x is strictly convex on J . We have by Karamata's inequality that for every $x \in I$,

$$f''(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^r g_x(a_i) + \sum_{i=1}^s g_x(b_i) \leq - \sum_{i=1}^s g_x(a_i) + \sum_{i=1}^s g_x(b_i) \leq 0.$$

Since $R(x)$ is non-constant, it follows that either $r > s$ or $r = s$ with $a_i \neq b_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$, which implies that the above inequality concerning $f''(x)$ is strict for every $x \in I$. Thus $f(x)$ is strictly concave on I . Our corollary follows now by applying Karamata's inequality to $-f$. \square

Remark 5. It is evident that Corollary 9 follows from Corollary 10 by taking $r > s = 0$.

Corollary 11. *Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with non-negative real coefficients. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers. Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n are two sequences of positive real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying*

$$\prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{p_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^k y_i^{p_i} \tag{15}$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (P(x_i))^{p_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (P(y_i))^{p_i}. \tag{16}$$

Moreover, if $P(x)$ is not a constant multiple of x^m for some $m \geq 1$, then equality in (16) holds if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k,$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \geq 0$ and $a_m > 0$. We claim that for any $x > 0$ we have

$$(P''(x)x + P'(x))P(x) \geq P'(x)^2 x$$

which becomes strict when $a_k > 0$ for some $0 \leq k \leq m-1$. To prove this, note that

$$P''(x)x + P'(x) = \sum_{k=2}^m k(k-1)a_k x^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^m k a_k x^{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^m k^2 a_k x^{k-1}.$$

It follows by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} (P''(x)x + P'(x))P(x) &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^m k^2 a_k x^{k-1} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k \right) \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^m k^2 a_k x^{k-1} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x^{k-1} \right) x \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^m k a_k x^{k-1} \right)^2 x \\ &= P'(x)^2 x. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_0 > 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^m a_k x^k > \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x^{k-1} \right) x;$$

if $a_k > 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m-1$, then

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^m k^2 a_k x^{k-1} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k x^{k-1} \right) > \left(\sum_{k=1}^m k a_k x^{k-1} \right)^2.$$

In both cases, we must have

$$(P''(x)x + P'(x))P(x) > P'(x)^2 x.$$

This proves our claim.

Consider now the function $f(x) := \log P(e^x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $P(x)$ is non-constant with non-negative real coefficients, we see that $f'(x) = P'(e^x)e^x/P(e^x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Simple calculations show that

$$f''(x) = \frac{[(P''(e^x)e^x + P'(e^x))P(e^x) - P'(e^x)^2 e^x]e^x}{P(e^x)^2} \geq 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus f is convex on \mathbb{R} . Furthermore, if $P(x)$ is not a constant multiple of x^m , or equivalently, if $a_k > 0$ for some $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, then $f''(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies that f is strictly convex on \mathbb{R} . Our corollary follows immediately from Proposition 4 applied to f and $\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n, \log y_1, \dots, \log y_n$. \square

Corollary 12. *Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers and suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n are two sequences of positive real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying (15) for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (\log(1 + x_i))^{p_i} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (\log(1 + y_i))^{p_i}$$

with equality if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Let $f(x) := \log \log(1 + e^x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then f is strictly increasing on \mathbb{R} with

$$f''(x) = \frac{e^x [\log(1 + e^x) - e^x]}{[(1 + e^x) \log(1 + e^x)]^2} < 0,$$

where we have used the fact that $\log(1 + y) \leq y$ for any $y > -1$ with equality precisely when $y = 0$. This shows that f is strictly concave on \mathbb{R} . We finish the proof of our corollary by applying Proposition 4 to $-f$ and $\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n, \log y_1, \dots, \log y_n$. \square

Corollary 13. *Let p_1, \dots, p_n be positive real numbers and suppose that x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n are two sequences of positive real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying (9) and (10). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n (\Gamma(x_i))^{p_i} &\geq \prod_{i=1}^n (\Gamma(y_i))^{p_i}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \Gamma(x_i) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \Gamma(y_i), \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $x_i = y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where Γ is the Gamma function defined for every $x > 0$ by

$$\Gamma(x) := \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Karamata's inequality and the well-known fact that both $\Gamma(x)$ and $\log \Gamma(x)$ are strictly convex on $(0, +\infty)$. \square

Remark 6. It is well known that for any $x \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}. \quad (17)$$

This implies that the function $f(x) := \Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1/2]$ and strictly increasing on $[1/2, 1)$. Another way to see this is to use Corollary 13. Indeed, note that $f(x)$ is symmetric about the line $x = 1/2$. It thus suffices to consider the interval $(1/2, 1]$. If $1/2 \leq y < x \leq 1$, then Corollary 13 applied with $(x_1, x_2) = (x, 1-x)$ and $(y_1, y_2) = (y, 1-y)$ gives $f(x) > f(y)$.

Corollary 13 can also be used to produce numerical bounds for special values of Γ . For instance, we obtain by taking $(x_1, x_2) = (n+1, n+1/3)$, $(y_1, y_2) = (n+2/3, n+1/2)$ and $(p_1, p_2) = (1, 2)$ with $n \geq 1$ that

$$n! \cdot \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{3}\right)^2 > \Gamma\left(n + \frac{2}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2.$$

Note that

$$\Gamma(n + \alpha) = \Gamma(\alpha) \prod_{k=1}^n (k - 1 + \alpha)$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Thus we have

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 > \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \pi \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{3k}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{6k-4}\right)^2.$$

Since

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(2\pi/3)} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{-1} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{-1}$$

by (17), we obtain by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \geq \sqrt[3]{\frac{2\pi^2 A}{\sqrt{3}}},$$

where

$$A := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3k}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{6k-4}\right)^2.$$

Similarly, we get by taking $(x_1, x_2) = (n + 3/2, n + 1)$, $(y_1, y_2) = (n + 4/3, n + 4/3)$ and $(p_1, p_2) = (2, 1)$ that

$$n! \cdot \Gamma\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2 > \Gamma\left(n + \frac{4}{3}\right)^3,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 < 3\pi \left(1 + \frac{1}{6n+2}\right)^2 \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{3k+1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{6k-4}\right)^2.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce that

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \leq \sqrt[3]{3\pi B},$$

where

$$B := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3k+1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{6k-4}\right)^2.$$

Numerical data shows that

$$\sqrt[3]{\frac{2\pi^2 A}{\sqrt{3}}} = 2.678938534707747633655692940974677644128689377957301100950428327566\dots,$$

$$\sqrt[3]{3\pi B} = 2.678938534707747633655692940974677644128689377957301100950428327584\dots$$

We see that the bounds we have obtained are fairly good, especially considering that in deriving these bounds we made no use of the deep properties of Γ other than Corollary 13 and the reflection formula (17).

Corollary 14. *Let p_1, \dots, p_n positive real numbers. Suppose that a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n are two sequences of positive real numbers arranged in descending order satisfying (9) and (10). Let c_1, \dots, c_n and d_1, \dots, d_n be another two sequences of positive real numbers satisfying the same conditions. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(a_i, c_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(b_i, d_i)$$

with equality if and only if $(a_i, c_i) = (b_i, d_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $B(x, y)$ is the Beta function defined for every pair $(x, y) \in (0, +\infty)^2$ by

$$B(x, y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

Proof. Let $f_i(x) := B(x, c_i)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_i'(x) &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{c_i-1} \log t \, dt < 0, \\ f_i''(x) &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{c_i-1} (\log t)^2 \, dt > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that each $f_i(x)$ is strictly convex on $(0, +\infty)$ and $f_1'(x) \geq \dots \geq f_n'(x)$ holds for all $x > 0$. Thus Proposition 5 applies; we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(a_i, c_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(b_i, c_i)$$

with equality if and only if $a_i = b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(c_i, b_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(d_i, b_i)$$

with equality if and only if $c_i = d_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Combining these two inequalities and using the identity $B(x, y) = B(y, x)$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(a_i, c_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B(b_i, d_i)$$

with equality if and only if $(a_i, c_i) = (b_i, d_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alzera, *A proof of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality*, Am. Math. Mon. **103** (1996), 585.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [3] J. Karamata, *Sur une inégalité relative aux fonctions convexes*, Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade **1** (1932), 145–148.
- [4] G. Szegő, *Über eine Verallgemeinerung des Dirichletschen Integrals*, Math. Zeitschrift **52** (1950), 676–685.